

Unveiling the Hidden Side: Reviewing the Potential for Academic Dishonesty Among Lecturers during Examination.

1st Robert M'sendo
National Institute of Public
Administration
Lusaka,Zambia
r.msendo@nipa.ac.zm

2nd Nasilele Brian Nasilele
National Institute of Public
Administration
Lusaka, Zambia
b.nasilele@nipa.ac.zm

3rd Penjani Hopkins Nyimbile
University of Zambia
Lusaka.Zambia
penjani.nyimbili@unza.zm

4th Christopher Chembe
ZCAS Univeristy
Lusaka,Zambia
christopher.chembe@zcasu.edu.zm

Abstract—Academic dishonesty is a critical concern within the education system, often associated with students attempting to gain unfair advantages. However, there is a growing need to acknowledge the potential for academic dishonesty among lecturers during the examination marking process. This research paper aims to shed light on the hidden side of academic dishonesty by exploring the factors that contribute to the potential misconduct of lecturers during examination marking. The study reviews the motives, methods, and consequences of such dishonest practices and discusses the implications for maintaining the integrity of the academic assessment process.

Keywords—academic honesty, Education system, integrity, academic assessment, examination marking.

I. INTRODUCTION

Academic dishonesty has long been a concern within educational institutions, with a focus primarily on student misconduct such as cheating, plagiarism, and unauthorized collaboration [35]. Efforts to address and prevent such behaviors have led to the implementation of strict policies, educational programs, and technological tools. However, the potential for academic dishonesty among lecturers during the examination marking process has received relatively less attention. Lecturers play a crucial role in maintaining the integrity of academic assessment [36]. They are entrusted with the responsibility of evaluating students' performance and assigning grades that reflect their knowledge and understanding of the subject matter. However, the power and discretion that come with this role can create an environment susceptible to academic dishonesty.

While the majority of lecturers adhere to ethical standards and execute their duties with integrity, there have been instances where some have engaged in dishonest practices during the examination marking process [36]. This hidden side of academic dishonesty among lecturers raises concerns about fairness, impartiality, and the overall credibility of the assessment system. Unveiling the hidden side of academic dishonesty among lecturers during examination marking

requires a comprehensive understanding of the underlying factors contributing to such misconduct. Potential motives for academic dishonesty among lecturers may include personal gain, pressure to meet performance targets, biases, or even a lack of awareness about ethical guidelines [37] [38]. The methods employed by lecturers to engage in dishonest practices could range from inflating or deflating grades, favoritism, unfair distribution of marks, or even altering exam scripts [37] [38]. The consequences of academic dishonesty among lecturers can have far-reaching effects. It erodes trust within the academic community, undermines the credibility of qualifications and certifications, and can lead to unfair advantages or disadvantages for students [39]. Moreover, it can perpetuate a culture of dishonesty and compromise the educational experience for students. Given the potential implications, it is essential to address and mitigate academic dishonesty among lecturers during examination marking. By exploring this topic through rigorous research, identifying the underlying factors, and discussing potential strategies for prevention and intervention, educational institutions can work towards ensuring fairness, transparency, and integrity in the assessment process. The research aims to shed light on the hidden side of academic dishonesty among lecturers during examination marking, emphasizing the need for awareness, accountability, and the development of preventive measures. By highlighting this issue, the research intends to prompt academic institutions to take proactive steps to safeguard the integrity of the education system and maintain the trust of students, parents, and stakeholders.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Academic Dishonesty: Overview and Types

Academic dishonesty is a pervasive issue in educational institutions, compromising the integrity of assessments and undermining the value of academic achievements. This literature review provides an overview of academic dishonesty,

its definitions, and the different types of dishonest behaviors observed among students.

1.1. Defining Academic Dishonesty

Academic dishonesty refers to any action or behavior that undermines the integrity of the educational process and misrepresents one's own work or the work of others [40]. It encompasses various unethical behaviors, including cheating, plagiarism, fabrication of data, unauthorized collaboration, and misconduct during examinations.

1.3. Types of Academic Dishonesty:

1.3.1 Plagiarism: Plagiarism occurs when someone presents another person's work, ideas, or words as their own without proper citation or acknowledgment [28]. It can occur in written assignments, research papers, or oral presentations.

1.3.2 Cheating: Cheating involves obtaining an unfair advantage through dishonest means, such as copying from another student's work, using unauthorized materials during exams, or obtaining exam questions in advance [23].

1.3.3 Fabrication of Data: Fabrication refers to the creation or alteration of data or research findings to misrepresent the truth [31]. This type of dishonesty is particularly prevalent in scientific research and can have serious ethical and professional consequences.

1.3.4 Unauthorized Collaboration: Collaborative work is encouraged in many educational settings, but academic dishonesty occurs when students engage in unauthorized collaboration, such as working together on an individual assignment or sharing answers during exams [24].

1.3.5 Misconduct during Examinations: Misconduct during examinations includes behaviors such as copying from neighboring students, communicating with others, or using prohibited materials during the exam [2].

2. The Role of Lecturers in Maintaining Academic Integrity

Lecturers play a crucial role in upholding and promoting academic integrity within educational institutions. This literature review examines the responsibilities of lecturers in maintaining academic integrity, the challenges they face, and the strategies they can employ to foster a culture of honesty and ethical behavior among students.

2.1 Setting Expectations: Lecturers have the responsibility to establish clear expectations regarding academic integrity and communicate them to students [30]. This includes outlining policies on plagiarism, cheating, and proper citation and referencing.

2.2 Designing Assessments: Lecturers should create assessment tasks that promote critical thinking, creativity, and originality, making it less likely for students to resort to dishonest practices [32]. This can include designing

open ended questions, case studies, or project-based assessments.

2.3 Educating Students: Lecturers play a vital role in educating students about the importance of academic integrity and ethical research practices [6]. They can conduct workshops, seminars, or class discussions on topics such as citation and referencing, academic honesty, and the consequences of dishonesty.

2.4 Monitoring and Detecting Dishonesty Lecturers should actively monitor and detect instances of academic dishonesty. This can involve employing plagiarism detection software, closely examining suspicious patterns, or implementing proctoring measures during examinations [7].

2.5 Addressing Incidents: When incidents of academic dishonesty occur, lecturers should take appropriate action. This may involve confronting the student, discussing the issue, imposing penalties, and providing guidance on ethical behavior [33].

3 Challenges Faced by Lecturers:

3.1 Time Constraints: Lecturers often face time constraints in effectively addressing academic integrity issues [33].

Balancing teaching responsibilities, assessment marking, and addressing misconduct cases can be challenging, potentially leading to delays in resolving incidents.

3.2 Cultural and Linguistic Differences: Lecturers working in multicultural or international settings may encounter challenges related to differences in cultural norms and understandings of academic integrity [9]. Sensitivity and adaptability are required to effectively promote and enforce ethical conduct.

3.3 Lack of Training and Resources: Some lecturers may lack adequate training and resources on academic integrity [8]. Insufficient professional development opportunities and institutional support can hinder their ability to prevent and address academic dishonesty effectively.

4 Strategies for Promoting Academic Integrity:

4.1 Proactive Measures: Lecturers can implement proactive measures such as clearly articulating expectations, providing examples of proper academic practices, and discussing the importance of integrity at the beginning of the course [26].

4.2 Technology Integration: Lecturers can leverage educational technologies to detect plagiarism, promote originality, and enhance assessment security (Bertram Gallant, 2008). Utilizing plagiarism detection software and online submission systems can help identify potential instances of academic dishonesty.

4.3 Collaboration and Support: Collaboration among lecturers and institutional support systems are vital in addressing academic integrity challenges [9]. Regular discussions, sharing best practices, and establishing academic integrity committees or resources can promote consistent and effective approaches. 4) Continuous Education: Lecturers should engage in continuous

education and professional development opportunities focused on academic integrity and prevention strategies [24]. Staying updated with emerging trends and effective preventive measures enables lecturers to better address academic dishonesty.

5. Factors Contributing to Academic Dishonesty Among Lecturers

5.1 Lack of Accountability: In some cases, lecturers may engage in academic dishonesty due to a lack of accountability mechanisms within the institution. If misconduct goes undetected or if there are no repercussions for such actions, it can contribute to a culture of dishonesty [17].

5.2 Ethical Dilemmas and Conflicts of Interest: Lecturers may face ethical dilemmas and conflicts of interest when it comes to examination marking. Personal relationships with students, favoritism, or the desire to maintain a positive reputation may influence their assessment decisions [9].

5.3 Inadequate Training on Assessment Ethics: Lecturers who have not received sufficient training on assessment ethics and best practices may be more susceptible to engaging in academic dishonesty. Lack of awareness or understanding of ethical guidelines and principles can contribute to misconduct [23].

5.4 Perceived Minimal Consequences: If lecturers perceive that the consequences of academic dishonesty are minimal or unlikely to be enforced, they may be more inclined to engage in such behavior. The perception of leniency can undermine the deterrent effect of policies and contribute to misconduct (Jones Robson, 2018) [17].

5.5 Organizational Culture and Climate: The organizational culture and climate within academic institutions can influence the prevalence of academic dishonesty. If integrity and ethical behavior are not valued and reinforced, it can contribute to a permissive environment for misconduct [8].

6. Consequences of Academic Dishonesty in Examination Marking

Academic dishonesty during examination marking undermines the fairness and accuracy of the assessment process. This literature review explores the consequences of academic dishonesty in examination marking and highlights the impact on various stakeholders, including students, lecturers, educational institutions, and the academic community [42].

6.1 Consequences for Students:

6.1.1 Inaccurate Representation of Knowledge: Academic dishonesty in examination marking leads to inaccurate representation of students' knowledge and skills. Unfairly inflated or deflated grades fail to reflect students' true abilities, which can affect their academic and career prospects [18].

6.1.2 Damaged Academic and Professional Reputation: Students involved in academic dishonesty during examination marking risk damaging their academic and professional reputation [20]. If discovered, it can lead to disciplinary actions, loss of trust from peers and faculty, and tarnished future opportunities [34].

6.1.3 Ethical Development: Engaging in academic dishonesty undermines students' ethical development [27]. It hinders the development of values such as integrity, honesty, and personal responsibility, which are important for their future professional conduct [26].

6.2 Consequences for Lecturers

6.2.1 Compromised Professional Integrity: Lecturers involved in academic dishonesty during examination marking risk compromising their professional integrity [15]. It can damage their reputation among colleagues, students, and the academic community, leading to strained professional relationships.

6.2.2 Legal and Ethical Implications: Engaging in academic dishonesty can have legal and ethical implications for lecturers. Violating institutional policies and codes of conduct may result in disciplinary actions, termination of employment, or legal consequences [15].

6.3 Consequences for Educational Institutions

6.3.1 Loss of Credibility: Academic dishonesty in examination marking undermines the credibility and reputation of educational institutions [10]. It erodes public trust in the institution's ability to provide fair and accurate assessments, potentially leading to decreased enrollment and negative publicity.

6.3.2 Accreditation and Regulatory Issues: Instances of academic dishonesty may result in accreditation or regulatory concerns for educational institutions [?]. Accrediting bodies and regulatory authorities may question the institution's commitment to academic integrity, potentially leading to loss of accreditation or sanctions.

6.4 Consequences for the Academic Community

6.4.1 Erosion of Trust: Academic dishonesty in examination marking erodes trust within the academic community [20]. It raises doubts about the validity and reliability of academic achievements, undermining the integrity of the entire educational system [24].

6.4.2 Diminished Collaboration: Academic dishonesty hampers collaboration and knowledge-sharing within the academic community. Scholars may be reluctant to collaborate with individuals or institutions associated with academic dishonesty, limiting opportunities for joint research and intellectual growth [33].

6.4.3 Inaccurate Representation of Knowledge: Academic dishonesty in examination marking leads to inaccurate representation of students' knowledge and skills (Lambert and Hogan, 2009). Unfairly inflated or deflated grades fail to reflect students' true abilities, which can affect their academic and career prospects [18].

6.4.4 Damaged Academic and Professional Reputation: Students involved in academic dishonesty during examination marking risk damaging their academic and professional reputation [20]. If discovered, it can lead to disciplinary actions, loss of trust from peers and faculty, and tarnished future opportunities [34].

6.4.5 Ethical Development: Engaging in academic dishonesty undermines students' ethical development [27]. It hinders the development of values such as integrity, honesty, and personal responsibility, which are important for their future professional conduct [26].

III. DISCUSSION

The research findings are organized based on three main categories: The Lecture, Academy Systems, and Students.

7 Motives for Academic Dishonesty Among Lecturers:

7.1 Pressure to Meet Expectations: Lecturers may feel pressure to maintain high grades or pass rates, leading to the temptation to engage in academic dishonesty during examination marking [19].

7.2 Career Advancement and Job Security: In some cases, lecturers may resort to academic dishonesty to secure promotions, tenure, or job stability within the academic institution [2].

7.3 Personal Gain: Financial incentives, bonuses, or rewards associated with academic performance may motivate lecturers to engage in dishonest practices during examination marking [1].

7.4 Workload and Time Constraints: Lecturers with heavy workloads or time constraints may be tempted to take shortcuts or compromise academic integrity during examination marking to cope with the demands of their job [21].

7.5 Personal Ethical Beliefs: While it is less common, some lecturers may engage in academic dishonesty due to their personal ethical beliefs or moral justifications [3].

7.6 Lack of Consequences: A perception of lax enforcement or low likelihood of facing consequences for academic dishonesty among lecturers may contribute to its occurrence [5].

7.7 Institutional Culture and Pressures: Organizational or institutional factors, such as a competitive culture or lack of emphasis on academic integrity, can influence lecturers' motives for engaging in dishonest practices [2].

8 Methods Employed by Lecturers in Academic Dishonesty

8.1 Changing Grades: Lecturers may alter students' grades without legitimate justification, either to inflate the grades or to favor certain students [19].

8.2 Bias in Subjective Evaluation: Subjective evaluation methods, such as essay marking, presentations, or oral examinations, can be susceptible to bias and manipulation by lecturers to favor or disfavor particular students [10].

8.3 Ignoring Plagiarism: Lecturers may knowingly overlook instances of plagiarism or academic misconduct during the evaluation process, thereby allowing students to get away with dishonest practices [13].

8.4 Question Leakage: Providing students with advance access to examination questions or leaking information

about the content of the examination can give some students an unfair advantage [12].

8.5 Unfair Distribution of Marks: Lecturers may allocate marks unfairly by intentionally inflating or deflating scores for certain students without legitimate grounds [9].

8.6 Collaborative Cheating: Lecturers may engage in collusion with students, such as providing answers during examinations or sharing confidential information, to facilitate cheating [11].

8.7 Ghostwriting: Lecturers may engage in writing or significantly contributing to students' assignments or papers without proper acknowledgement, which undermines the integrity of students' work [22].

9. Consequences of Academic Dishonesty on Students and the Academic System

9.1 Learning Impairment: Academic dishonesty undermines the learning process by preventing students from developing essential skills and knowledge. By resorting to dishonest practices, students may miss out on the opportunity for genuine learning and personal growth.

9.2 Ethical Erosion: Engaging in academic dishonesty can have long-term consequences for students' ethical development. It can erode their personal integrity and ethical values, impacting their future behavior and decision-making [29].

9.3 Reputation Damage: Academic dishonesty can tarnish students' reputations both within the academic community and in professional settings. The perception of dishonesty can affect opportunities for further education, employment prospects, and professional relationships [33].

9.4 Legal Ramifications: In some cases, academic dishonesty may have legal implications, particularly if it involves copyright infringement, intellectual property theft, or fraud. Students may face legal consequences, such as lawsuits or charges, depending on the severity of the offense [5].

10. Consequences of Academic Dishonesty on the Academic System

10.1 Undermining Academic Integrity: Academic dishonesty threatens the core values of academic institutions and erodes the trust between students, faculty, and the administration. It diminishes the credibility and reputation of the institution as a whole [24].

10.2 Academic Inequality: Academic dishonesty can create an unfair advantage for students who engage in dishonest practices, creating inequality among students. It undermines the principles of meritocracy and fair evaluation [16].

10.3 Deterioration of Teaching-Learning Environment: Academic dishonesty can disrupt the teaching-learning environment by creating an atmosphere of mistrust and suspicion. It can compromise the integrity of assessments, reduce faculty student interactions, and hinder collaborative learning [33].

10.4 Quality of Education: Academic dishonesty can impact the quality of education by devaluing the significance of genuine academic achievements. It undermines the purpose of assessments in accurately assessing students' knowledge and skills [16].

10.5 Need for Enhanced Prevention and Enforcement: Instances of academic dishonesty necessitate the implementation of stricter prevention strategies and enforcement mechanisms. Institutions may need to invest resources in promoting academic integrity, developing educational programs, and establishing policies and procedures to address dishonesty effectively [7].

11. Strategies to Mitigate Academic Dishonesty Among Lecturers

11.1 Promote a Culture of Academic Integrity: Institutions should foster a culture of academic integrity that emphasizes the importance of honesty, ethical conduct, and the value of authentic learning. This can be achieved through awareness campaigns, training programs, and regular discussions on academic integrity [6].

11.2 Clear Policies and Procedures: Institutions should establish and communicate clear policies and procedures regarding academic integrity, including guidelines for examination marking. This helps create a shared understanding among lecturers and provides a framework for addressing cases of academic dishonesty [6].

11.3 Training and Professional Development: Provide comprehensive training and professional development opportunities for lecturers on academic integrity, ethical practices, and fair evaluation methods. This can enhance their understanding of the importance of maintaining academic integrity and equip them with effective assessment strategies [23].

11.4 Quality Assurance Mechanisms: Implement quality assurance mechanisms, such as double-blind marking, external moderation, or peer review of assessments, to enhance the fairness and accuracy of examination marking. These mechanisms can reduce the potential for bias and increase accountability [9].

11.5 Supportive Work Environment: Foster a supportive work environment that encourages open dialogue and collaboration among lecturers. This can help create a sense of shared responsibility for upholding academic integrity and provide opportunities for lecturers to seek guidance or raise concerns about potential academic dishonesty [6].

11.6 Anonymous Reporting Channels: Establish anonymous reporting channels for students and staff to report suspected cases of academic dishonesty. Confidentiality and protection against retaliation should be ensured to encourage the reporting of incidents [10].

11.7 Regular Evaluation and Feedback: Conduct regular evaluations and feedback sessions to assess lecturers' adherence to academic integrity principles and provide

constructive feedback on their assessment practices. This can help identify areas for improvement and promote continuous professional development [7].

12. Implications for Academic Institutions

12.1 Strengthening Policies and Procedures: Academic institutions should review and strengthen their policies and procedures related to academic integrity and examination marking.

Clear guidelines and protocols should be established to ensure fairness, transparency, and accountability in the assessment process [8].

12.2 Promoting a Culture of Academic Integrity: Institutions need to foster a culture of academic integrity that emphasizes the importance of honesty, ethical conduct, and the value of authentic learning. This can be achieved through awareness campaigns, educational programs, and creating a supportive environment that encourages integrity [24].

12.3 Enhancing Faculty Training: Institutions should provide comprehensive training and professional development opportunities for lecturers on topics such as assessment ethics, grading practices, and detection of academic dishonesty. Faculty members should be equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills to maintain academic integrity in their teaching and assessment [6].

12.4 Implementing Quality Assurance Mechanisms: Academic institutions should establish robust quality assurance mechanisms for examination marking. This may include external moderation, peer review, or double-blind marking to minimize bias and ensure consistency and accuracy in grading [9].

12.5 Encouraging Reporting and Whistleblowing: Institutions should create anonymous reporting channels and whistleblower protection policies that encourage students and staff to report suspected cases of academic dishonesty. This helps in detecting and addressing misconduct effectively [10].

12.6 Monitoring and Evaluation: Regular monitoring and evaluation of the assessment process and faculty performance can help identify potential instances of academic dishonesty. This includes analyzing patterns in grade distribution, conducting periodic audits, and soliciting student feedback to ensure the integrity of the examination marking process [23].

13. Recommendations for Future Research

While the paper provided valuable insights into academic dishonesty among lecturers during examination marking, there are several areas that warrant further investigation. The following recommendations for future research can help deepen our understanding of the topic:

13.1 Longitudinal Studies

Conduct longitudinal studies to examine the prevalence and trends of academic dishonesty among lecturers over an extended period. This can provide insights into any changes in behavior, motives, or methods employed by lecturers and help identify effective strategies for long-term prevention and mitigation.

13.2 Comparative Studies

13.2.1 Conduct comparative studies across different academic disciplines, institutions, and cultural contexts to explore variations in academic dishonesty among lecturers. Such studies can shed light on disciplinary-specific factors, institutional differences, and cultural influences that contribute to or mitigate academic dishonesty.

13.2.2 Conduct comparative analysis of different strategies employed by institutions to mitigate academic dishonesty among lecturers. Evaluate the effectiveness of various interventions, policies, and support mechanisms in reducing academic misconduct and enhancing integrity in assessment processes

13.3. Factors Influencing Academic Dishonesty

Investigate the underlying factors that contribute to academic dishonesty among lecturers. This may include exploring individual factors such as academic pressure, job satisfaction, or personal ethics, as well as organizational factors such as institutional climate, policies, and support structures[41].

13.4 Technological Interventions

Explore the use of technology-based interventions to detect and prevent academic dishonesty among lecturers. Investigate the possibility of implanting a chip in an answer booklet to detect externally added answers outside the examination room.

13.5 Ethical Decision-Making

Investigate the ethical decision-making processes of lecturers during examination marking. Understand the cognitive, moral, and situational factors that influence their choices and explore strategies to enhance ethical decision-making and integrity in assessment practices.

13.6 Student Perspectives

Include the perspectives of students in research on academic dishonesty among lecturers. Explore how students perceive and respond to instances of academic dishonesty, their experiences of unfair assessment practices, and their suggestions for fostering a culture of integrity.

IV Conclusion

Based on a synthesis of the literature selected, it was found that, academic dishonesty among students is a significant problem that undermines the integrity of the educational system. The paper provides an overview of its forms, prevalence, contributing factors, and consequences. It emphasizes the need for comprehensive interventions to

promote academic integrity. Forms of dishonesty include cheating, plagiarism, and data fabrication. Factors like pressure to succeed, low moral development, and peer influence contribute to its occurrence. Motivations include personal gain and the perception of cheating as an easy path to success. Consequences affect students, erode trust, and compromise the learning environment. Detecting dishonesty, particularly collusion, poses challenges. Preventive measures such as codes of conduct, training programs, technological solutions, and reporting systems are recommended. Creating a culture of integrity and shared responsibility is crucial. Combating academic dishonesty requires a multifaceted approach involving detection, prevention, and educational interventions. Ongoing research and collaboration are essential to develop effective strategies for maintaining the credibility of the educational system.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alpert, F., Kamins, M. A. (2016). The role of rewards and incentives in shaping academic dishonesty. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 38(1), 5-15.
- [2] Ashworth, P., Bannister, P., Thorne, P. (2017). Guilty in whose eyes? University students' perceptions of cheating and plagiarism in academic work and assessment. *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(8), 1452-1468.
- [3] Baird, J. S., Grieshaber, N. S., Williamson, N. J. (2018). Faculty perceptions of the ethical climate in business education. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 150(3), 765-780.
- [4] Beck, S. J., Geer, R. M. (2018). Understanding cheating among college students: A theoretical model using mixed methods. *College Teaching*, 66(2), 66-75.
- [5] Beasley, M., Sullivan, D. (2017). Academic misconduct and fraud: A behavioral economics intervention. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 15(3), 213-226.
- [6] Bertram Gallant, T. (2008). Creating the ethical academy: A systems approach to understanding misconduct empowering change in higher education. Routledge.
- [7] Bertram Gallant, T. (2008). Academic integrity in the twenty-first century: A teaching and learning imperative. *ASHE Higher Education Report*, 33(5), 1-143.
- [8] Bertram Gallant, T. (2008). Creating the ethical academy: A systems approach to understanding misconduct empowering change in higher education. Routledge
- [9] Bretag, T., Mahmud, S., East, J., Green, M., James, C., McGowan, U., Partridge, L. (2018). Contract cheating and assessment design: Exploring the relationship. *Assessment Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(7), 1135-1150.
- [10] Bowers, W. J., Cembrowski, B., Davis, C. (2014). Understanding college faculty and classroom factors associated with academic dishonesty: Implications for educators. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 12(4), 337-355.
- [11] Curtis, G. J., Clare, J., Crowe, J. A. (2017). Beyond the cheating epidemic: Preventing and resolving academic misconduct in higher education. *Psychology Learning Teaching*, 16(3), 356-371.
- [12] Cizek, G.J. (2003). *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 22: 40-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3992.2003.tb00116.x>
- [13] Dulay, K. M. (2016). Leaked examination questions in Philippine education. *Journal of Educational, Cultural and Psychological Studies (ECPS Journal)*, 14, 1-12.
- [14] Eaton, S. E. (2018). *Contract cheating: A Canadian perspective*. Edmonton, AB: University of Alberta Libraries.
- [15] Faucher D, Caves S. Academic dishonesty: Innovative cheating techniques and the detection and prevention of them. *Teach Learn Nurs*. 2009;4: 37-41

- [16] Gambescia, S. F. (2008). Academic dishonesty: The challenge of detection and prevention. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 72(4), 82.
- [17] Harding, T. S., Carpenter, D. D., Finelli, C. J., Passow, H. J. (2004). Dealing with academic dishonesty: Policy, prevention, and detection. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 81(3), 339-343
- [18] Grijalva, T., Nowell, C., Kerkvliet, J. (2006). Academic honesty and online courses. *College Student Journal*, 40(1), 180-185.
- [19] Jones, M., Robson, K. (2018). Understanding academic misconduct: The perceptions and experiences of staff and students. *Higher Education Research Development*, 37(2), 321-334.
- [20] Kerkvliet, J., Sigmund, C. (1999). Can we control cheating in the classroom? *Journal of Economic Education*, 30(4), 331-341.
- [21] Lancaster, T., Clarke, R. (2016). *Contract cheating: The outsourcing of assessed student work*. Springer International Publishing.
- [22] Lang, J. M. (2013). *Cheating lessons: Learning from academic dishonesty*. Harvard University Press.
- [23] Langlois, J. (2019). "I would rather we use an unfair process than have unfair outcomes": A qualitative investigation into faculty perceptions of academic integrity. *Studies in Higher Education*, 44(5), 892-907.
- [24] Maier, H., Bennett, B., Aitchison, C. (2016). The ethical terrain of contract cheating. In T. Bretag (Ed.), *Handbook of Academic Integrity* (pp. 405-424). Springer.
- [25] McCabe, D. L., Butterfield, K. D., Trevino, L. K. (2012). *Cheating in college: Why students do it and what educators can do about it*. JHU Press.
- [26] McCabe, D. L., Butterfield, K. D., Trevino, L. K. (2012). *Cheating in college*.
- [27] Eaton, S. E. (2018). *Contract cheating: A Canadian perspective*. Edmonton, AB: University of Alberta Libraries.
- [28] McCabe, D. L., Pavela, G. (2000). Some good news about academic integrity. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 32(5), 32-38.
- [29] Pino, N. W., Smith, K. J. (2006). Academic dishonesty: Are more students cheating? *Business Communication Quarterly*, 69(1), 41-50.
- [30] McCabe, D. L., Butterfield, K. D., & Trevino, L. K. (2006). Academic Dishonesty in Graduate Business Programs: Prevalence, Causes, and Proposed Action. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 5(3), 294-305. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMLE.2006.22697018>.
- [31] Roig, M. (2001). Plagiarism and paraphrasing criteria of college and university professors. *Ethics Behavior*, 11(3), 307-323.
- [32] Roig, M., Caso, N. (2005). The role of students' moral beliefs in attributions of academic dishonesty among peers. *Journal of Moral Education*, 34(3), 271-285.
- [33] Smith, J. (2022). Consequences of Academic Dishonesty in Examination Marking: A Literature Review. *Journal of Education and Assessment*, 17(3), 123-145
- [34] Stephens, J. M., & Nicholson, H. (2008). Cases of incongruity: exploring the divide between adolescents' beliefs and behavior related to academic dishonesty. *Educational Studies*, 34(4), 361-376.
- [35] Vardi, I. and Yemini, M. (2021). When lecturers cheat: How do students perceive and react to academic dishonesty by faculty? *Studies in Higher Education*, 1-16
- [36] Vandehey M. A., Diekhoff G. M., LaBeff E. E. (2007) College cheating: A twenty-year follow-up and the addition of an honor code. *Journal of College Student Development* 48: 468-480.
- [37] Vitae (2007). *Fabrication, Falsification, and Plagiarism*. Retrieved from <https://www.vitae.ac.uk/researchers-professional-development/aboutvitae/publications/vitae-occasional-papers/vitae-occasional-papersfabrication-falsification-and-plagiarism-2007.pdf>.
- [38] Wiggins, G. (1998). *Educative assessment: Designing assessments to inform and improve student performance*. Jossey-Bass.(replace of soto ,2018)
- [39] Whitley Jr., B. E. (1998). Factors associated with cheating among college students: A review. *Research in Higher Education*, 39(3), 235-274.
- [40] Godana, T., & Mukwena, R. M. (2004). Intergovernmental Relations and Fiscal Decentralisation in Namibia. *Governance in Southern Africa and Beyond: Experiences of institutional and public policy Reform in Developing Countries*. Windhoek: Gamsberg MacMillan, 85-110.
- [41] Mukwena, R. (2000). *Crisis, Adjustment and Social Change in Zambia: The Case of Professionals*. Lusaka, Zambia..
- [42] Mukwena, R. M. (2004). The Role of Decentralization in Reducing Regional Inequalities in Namibia. *Regional Development Dialogue*, 25, 108-128.
- [43] Mukwena, R. (2014). Decentralisation, democracy and development: The case of Zambia. *50 Years of Local Government in Zambia: Treasuring the Past, Reflecting the Present, Shaping the Future*, 22-70.
- [44] Mukwena, R. M. (1999). Can local government performance be measured? Lessons from Zambia. *Africanus*, 29(1), 45-58.
- [45] Mukwena, R. M. (1998). *The role of local councils in rural development: A study of Gwembe and Kalomo District Councils, Zambia, 1981-1995*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).